

GAUGE TRANSFORMATIONS ON MASSLESS SPIN-1/2 PARTICLES AND NEUTRINO POLARIZATION AS A CONSEQUENCE OF GAUGE INVARIANCE

Y. S. Kim

*Department of Physics, University of Maryland,
College Park, Maryland 20742, U.S.A.*

The two-by-two representation of the $SL(2, c)$ group is for spin-1/2 particles. Starting from this two-by-two representation, it is possible to construct the four-by-four matrices for spin-1 particles. For massless particles, it is possible to construct four-potentials from two-component $SL(2, c)$ spinors. Four potentials are subject to gauge transformations and are gauge-dependent. Then this gauge dependence necessarily comes from the two-component spinors which make up the four-potential. Then there must be a gauge-dependent spinor. This gauge-dependent spinor is discussed in detail. It is shown that neutrino polarization is a consequence of gauge invariance applicable to the two-by-two representation of the $SL(2, c)$ group.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to discuss the internal space-time symmetries of massless particles, particularly their gauge degrees of freedom. The internal space-time symmetries of massive and massless particles are dictated by the little groups of the Poincaré group which are isomorphic to the three-dimensional rotation group and the two-dimensional Euclidean group respectively.¹ The little group is the maximal subgroup of the Lorentz group whose transformations leave the four-momentum of the particle invariant. Using the properties of these groups we would like to address the following questions.

On massless particles, there are still questions for which answers are not readily available. Why do spins have to be parallel or anti-parallel to the momentum? While photons have a gauge degree of freedom with two possible spin directions, why do massless neutrinos have only one spin direction without gauge degrees of freedom? The purpose of this note is to address these questions within the framework of Wigner's little groups of the Poincaré group.¹

The group of Lorentz transformations is generated by three rotation generators J_i and three boost generators K_i . They satisfy the commutation relations:

$$[J_i, J_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}J_k, \quad [J_i, K_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}K_k, \quad [K_i, K_j] = -i\epsilon_{ijk}J_k. \quad (1)$$

In studying space-time symmetries dictated by Wigner's little group, it is important to choose a particular value of the four-momentum. For a massive

point particle, there is a Lorentz frame in which the particle is at rest. In this frame, the little group is the three-dimensional rotation group. This is the fundamental symmetry associated with the concept of spin.

For a massless particle, there is no Lorentz frame in which its momentum is zero. Thus we have to settle with a non-zero value of the momentum along one convenient direction. The three-parameter little group in this case is isomorphic to the $E(2)$ group. The rotational degree of freedom corresponds to the helicity of the massless particle, while the translational degrees of freedom are gauge degrees of the massless particle.²

In this report, we discuss first the $O(3)$ -like little group for a massive particle. We then study the $E(2)$ -like little group for massless particles. The $O(3)$ -like little group is applicable to a particle at rest. If the system is boosted along the z axis, the little group becomes a “Lorentz-boosted” rotation group, whose generators still satisfy the commutation relations for the rotation group. However, in the infinite-momentum/zero-mass limit, the commutation relation should become those for massless particles. This process can be carried out for both spin-1 and spin-1/2 particles. This “group-contraction” process in principle can be extended all higher-spin particles. In this report, we are particularly interested in spin-1/2 particles.

In Sec. 2, we study the contraction of the $O(3)$ -like little group to the $E(2)$ -like little group. In Sec. 3, we discuss in detail the $E(2)$ -like symmetry of massless particles. Secs. 4 and 5 are devoted to the question of neutrino polarizations and gauge transformation.

Recently, the Lorentz group has established its prominence in optics. For instance, from the mathematical point of view, “squeezed state” are infinite-dimensional unitary representations of the Lorentz group. As for finite spinor representations, it is possible to formulate optical filters in terms of the two-by-two matrix of the $SL(2, c)$ group. In Sec. 6, we give a brief review of the recent development in this field and its relevance to the physics of neutrinos and photons.

2 Massless Particle as a Limiting Case of Massive Particle

The $O(3)$ -like little group for a particle at rest is generated by J_1, J_2 , and J_3 . If the particle is boosted along the z direction with the boost operator $B(\eta) = \exp(-i\eta K_3)$, the little group is generated by $J'_i = B(\eta)J_iB(-\eta)$. Because J_3 commutes with K_3 , J_3 remains invariant under this boost. J'_1 and J'_2 take the form

$$J'_1 = (\cosh \eta)J_1 + (\sinh \eta)K_2, \quad J'_2 = (\cosh \eta)J_2 - (\sinh \eta)K_1. \quad (2)$$

The boost parameter η becomes infinite if the mass of the particle becomes vanishingly small. For large values of η , we can consider N_1 and N_2 defined as $N_1 = -(\cosh \eta)^{-1} J'_2$ and $N_2 = (\cosh \eta)^{-1} J'_1$ respectively. Then, in the infinite- η limit,

$$N_1 = K_1 - J_2, \quad N_2 = K_2 + J_1. \quad (3)$$

These operators satisfy the commutation relations

$$[J_3, N_1] = iN_2, \quad [J_3, N_2] = -iN_1, \quad [N_1, N_2] = 0. \quad (4)$$

J_3, N_1 , and N_2 are the generators of the $E(2)$ -like little group for massless particles.

In order to relate the above little group to transformations more familiar to us, let us consider the two-dimensional xy coordinate system. We can make rotations around the origin and translations along the x and y axes. The rotation generator L_z takes the form

$$L_z = -i \left\{ x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right\}. \quad (5)$$

The translation generators are

$$P_x = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad P_y = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \quad (6)$$

These generators satisfy the commutation relations:

$$[L_z, P_x] = iP_y, \quad [L_z, P_y] = -iP_x, \quad [P_x, P_y] = 0. \quad (7)$$

These commutation relations are like those given in Eq.(4). They become identical if L_z, P_x and P_y are replaced by J_1, N_2 and N_3 respectively. This is the reason why the little group for massless particles is like $E(2)$.

3 Symmetry of Massless Particles

The internal space-time symmetry of massless particles is governed by the cylindrical group which is locally isomorphic to two-dimensional Euclidean group.² In this case, we can visualize a circular cylinder whose axis is parallel to the momentum. On the surface of this cylinder, we can rotate a point around the axis or translate along the direction of the axis. The rotational degree of freedom is associated with the helicity, while the translation corresponds to a gauge transformation in the case of photons.

The question then is whether this translational degree of freedom is shared by all massless particles, including neutrinos and gravitons. We shall see in

this paper that the requirement of gauge invariance leads to the polarization of neutrinos.³ Since this translational degree of freedom is a gauge degree of freedom for photons, we can extend the concept of gauge transformations to all massless particles including neutrinos.

If we use the four-vector convention $x^\mu = (x, y, z, t)$, the generators of rotations around and boosts along the z axis take the form

$$J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

respectively. There are four other generators, but they are readily available in the literature.⁴ They are applicable also to the four-potential of the electromagnetic field or to a massive vector meson.

The role of J_3 is well known.⁵ It is the helicity operator and generates rotations around the momentum. The N_1 and N_2 matrices take the form⁴

$$N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & i \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

The transformation matrix is

$$D(u, v) = \exp \{-i(uN_1 + vN_2)\} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -u & u \\ 0 & 1 & -v & v \\ u & v & 1 - (u^2 + v^2)/2 & (u^2 + v^2)/2 \\ u & v & -(u^2 + v^2)/2 & 1 + (u^2 + v^2)/2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

If this matrix is applied to the electromagnetic wave propagating along the z direction

$$A^\mu(z, t) = (A_1, A_2, A_3, A_0)e^{i\omega(z-t)}, \quad (11)$$

which satisfies the Lorentz condition $A_3 = A_0$, the $D(u, v)$ matrix can be reduced to³

$$D(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ u & v & 1 & 0 \\ u & v & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

If $A_3 = A_0$, the four-vector (A_1, A_2, A_3, A_3) can be written as

$$(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_0) = (A_1, A_2, 0, 0) + \lambda(0, 0, \omega, \omega), \quad (13)$$

with $A_3 = \lambda\omega$. The four-vector $(0, 0, \omega, \omega)$ represents the four-momentum. If the D matrix of Eq.(12) is applied to the above four vector, the result is

$$(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_0) = (A_1, A_2, 0, 0) + \lambda'(0, 0, \omega, \omega), \quad (14)$$

with $\lambda' = \lambda + (1/\omega)(uA_1 + vA_3)$. Thus the D matrix performs a gauge transformation when applied to the electromagnetic wave propagating along the z direction.^{3,6,7}

With the simplified form of the D matrix in Eq.(12), it is possible to give a geometrical interpretation of the little group. If we take into account of the rotation around the z axis, the most general form of the little group transformations is $R(\phi)D(u, v)$, where $R(\phi)$ is the rotation matrix. The transformation matrix is

$$R(\phi)D(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 & 0 \\ u & v & 1 & 0 \\ u & v & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

Since the third and fourth rows are identical to each other, we can consider the three-dimensional space (x, y, z, z) . It is clear that $R(\phi)$ performs a rotation around the z axis. The D matrix performs translations along the z axis. Indeed, the internal space-time symmetry of massless particles is that of the circular cylinder.²

4 Massless Spin-1/2 Particles

The question then is whether we can carry out the same procedure for spin-1/2 massless particles. We can also ask the question of whether it is possible to combine two spin 1/2 particles to construct a gauge-dependent four-potential. With this point in mind, let us go back to the commutation relations of Eq.(1). They are invariant under the sign change of the boost operators. Therefore, if there is a representation of the Lorentz group generated by J_i and K_i , it is possible to construct a representation with J_i and $-K_i$. For spin-1/2 particles, rotations are generated by $J_i = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i$, and the boosts by $K_i = (+)\frac{i}{2}\sigma_i$ or $K_i = (-)\frac{i}{2}\sigma_i$. The Lorentz group in this representation is often called $SL(2, c)$.

If we take the (+) sign, the N_1 and N_2 generators are

$$N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

On the other hand, for the (-) sign, we use the “dotted representation” for N_1 and N_2 :

$$\dot{N}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dot{N}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

There are therefore two different D matrices:

$$D(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u - iv \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dot{D}(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -u - iv & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

These are the gauge transformation matrices for massless spin-1/2 particles.^{3,4}

As for the spinors, let us start with a massive particle at rest, and the usual normalized Pauli spinors χ_+ and χ_- for the spin in the positive and negative z directions respectively. If we take into account Lorentz boosts, there are two additional spinors. We shall use the notation $\chi_\pm$ to which the boost generators $K_i = (+)\frac{i}{2}\sigma_i$ are applicable, and $\dot{\chi}_\pm$ to which $K_i = (-)\frac{i}{2}\sigma_i$ are applicable. There are therefore four independent spinors.^{4,8} The $SL(2, c)$ spinors are gauge-invariant in the sense that

$$D(u, v)\chi_+ = \chi_+, \quad \dot{D}(u, v)\dot{\chi}_- = \dot{\chi}_-. \quad (19)$$

On the other hand, the $SL(2, c)$ spinors are gauge-dependent in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} D(u, v)\chi_- &= \chi_- + (u - iv)\chi_+, \\ \dot{D}(u, v)\dot{\chi}_+ &= \dot{\chi}_+ - (u + iv)\dot{\chi}_-. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The gauge-invariant spinors of Eq.(19) appear as polarized neutrinos in the real world. The Dirac equation for massless neutrinos contains only the gauge-invariant $SL(2, c)$ spinors.

5 The Origin of Gauge Degrees of Freedom

However, where do the above gauge-dependent spinors stand in the physics of spin-1/2 particles? Are they really responsible for the gauge dependence of electromagnetic four-potentials when we construct a four-vector by taking a bilinear combination of spinors?

The relation between the $SL(2, c)$ spinors and the four-vectors has been discussed in the literature for massive particles.^{4,9} However, is it true for the massless case? The central issue is again the gauge transformation. The four-potentials are gauge dependent, while the spinors allowed in the Dirac equation are gauge-invariant. Therefore, it is not possible to construct four-potentials from the Dirac spinors. However, it is possible to construct the four-vector with the four $SL(2, c)$ spinors.^{4,8} Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} -\chi_+\dot{\chi}_+ &= (1, i, 0, 0), & \chi_-\dot{\chi}_- &= (1, -i, 0, 0), \\ \chi_+\dot{\chi}_- &= (0, 0, 1, 1), & \chi_-\dot{\chi}_+ &= (0, 0, 1, -1). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

These unit vectors in one Lorentz frame are not the unit vectors in other frames. The D transformation applicable to the above four-vectors is clearly $D(u, v)\dot{D}(u, v)$.

$$\begin{aligned} D(u, v)\dot{D}(u, v)|\chi_+\dot{\chi}_+ &= \dot{\chi}|\chi_+\dot{\chi}_+ > -(u + iv)|\chi_+\dot{\chi}_- >, \\ D(u, v)\dot{D}(u, v)|\chi_-\dot{\chi}_- &= \chi_-\dot{\chi}_- > -(u + iv)|\chi_+\dot{\chi}_- >, \\ D(u, v)\dot{\chi}(u, v)|\chi_+\dot{\chi}_- &= |\chi_+\dot{\chi}_- >. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The component $\chi_-\dot{\chi}_+ = (0, 0, 1, -1)$ vanishes from the Lorentz condition. The first two equations of the above expression correspond to the gauge transformations on the photon polarization vectors. The third equation describes the effect of the D transformation on the four-momentum, confirming the fact that $D(u, v)$ is an element of the little group. The above operation is identical to that of the four-by-four D matrix of Eq.(12) on photon polarization vectors.

It is possible to construct a six-component Maxwell tensor by making combinations of two undotted and dotted spinors.⁴ For massless particles, the only gauge-invariant components are $|\chi_+\chi_+ >$ and $|\dot{\chi}_-\dot{\chi}_- >$.¹⁰ They correspond to the photons in the Maxwell tensor representation with positive and negative helicities respectively. It is also possible to construct Maxwell-tensor fields with for a massive particle, and obtain massless Maxwell fields by group contraction.¹²

6 Lorentz Group in Polarization Optics

In studying polarized light propagating along the z direction, the traditional approach is to consider the x and y components of the electric fields. Their amplitude ratio and the phase difference determine the degree of polarization. Thus, we can change the polarization either by adjusting the amplitudes, by changing the relative phases, or both.

Let us write these electric fields as

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A \exp \{i(kz - \omega t + \phi_1)\} \\ B \exp \{i(kz - \omega t + \phi_2)\} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

where A and B are amplitudes which are real and positive numbers, and ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are the phases of the x and y components respectively. This column matrix is called the Jones vector. In dealing with light waves, we have to realize that the intensity is the quantity we measure. Then there arises the question of coherence and time average. We are thus led to consider the following

parameters.

$$\begin{aligned} S_{11} &= \langle E_x^* E_x \rangle, & S_{22} &= \langle E_y^* E_y \rangle, \\ S_{12} &= \langle E_x^* E_y \rangle, & S_{21} &= \langle E_y^* E_x \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

These four quantities are known as the Stokes parameters.

It is possible to treat the expression of Eq.(23) as a two-component spinor to which two-by-two $SL(2, c)$ matrices are applicable. It is also possible to treat the above parameters as the four components of a Minkowskian four-vector.¹³ It is thus possible to study the symmetries of spin-1/2 and spin-1 particles by performing polarization experiments in optics laboratories.

1. E. P. Wigner, Ann. Math. **40**, 149 (1939).
2. Y. S. Kim and E. P. Wigner, J. Math. Phys. **28**, 1175 (1987) and **31**, 55 (1990). For an earlier paper on group contractions, see E. Inonu and E. P. Wigner, Proc. Natl. Acad. Scie. (U.S.) **39**, 510 (1953).
3. D. Han, Y. S. Kim, and D. Son, Phys. Rev. D **26**, 4717 (1982).
4. Y. S. Kim and M. E. Noz, *Theory and Applications of the Poincaré Group* (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1986).
5. E. P. Wigner, Rev. Mod. Phys. **29**, 255 (1957). See also Chou Kuang-Chao and L. G. Zastavenco, Zhur. Exptl. i Teoret. Fiz. **35**, 1417 (1958) or Soviet Phys. JETP **8**, 990 (1959); M. Jacob and G. C. Wick, Ann. Phys. **7**, 404 (1959).
6. A. Janner and T. Janssen, Physica **53**, 1 (1971) and **60**, 292 (1972); J. Kuperzstych, Nuovo Cimento **31B**, 1 (1976); D. Han and Y. S. Kim, Am. J. Phys. **49**, 348 (1981).
7. D. Han and Y. S. Kim, Am. J. Phys. **49**, 348 (1981); D. Han, Y. S. Kim, and D. Son, Phys. Rev. D **31**, 328 (1985).
8. D. Han, Y. S. Kim, and D. Son, J. Math. Phys. **27**, 2228 (1986).
9. I. M. Gel'fand, R. A. Minlos, and Z. Ya. Shapiro, *Representations of the Rotation and Lorentz Groups and Their Applications* (Pergamon, New York, 1963).
10. S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **134**, B882 and **135**, B1049 (1964).
11. D. Han, Y. S. Kim, and D. Son, Phys. Lett. B **131**, 327 (1983).
12. S. Baskal and Y. S. Kim, Europhys. Lett. **40**, 375 (1997).
13. D. Han, Y. S. Kim, and M. E. Noz, Phys. Lett. A **219**, 26 (1996). D. Han, Y. S. Kim, and M. E. Noz, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **14**, 2290 (1997); D. Han, Y. S. Kim, and M. E. Noz, Phys. Rev. E **56**, 6065 (1997).